# DS 3: Coupled Harmonic Oscillators

## Philip Cherian February 4, 2022

## 1 Solving Differential Equations without Matrices

### 1.1 Why exponentials?

Exponentials have a special part to play in the solutions to the sort of differential equations you will see in this course, and this is because they can (in many cases) transform a differential equation into an *algebraic* equation that you know how to solve.

For example, consider the following differential equation that you are all (hopefully) familiar with:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 x}{\mathrm{d}t^2} + \omega_0^2 x = 0.$$

Suppose we look for a solution of the form  $Ae^{\alpha t}$ . The reason we do this is just glorified guesswork. Such a solution – when plugged into the above equation – will give us:

$$(\alpha^2 + \omega_0^2) A e^{\alpha t} = 0,$$

and since A and  $e^{\alpha t}$  are never zero, this means that  $\alpha = \pm i\omega_0$ .

Thus, we have two functions which solve the differential equation:

$$x_1(t) = Ae^{i\omega_0 t}$$
  

$$x_2(t) = Ae^{-i\omega_0 t}$$
(1)

Now, we know that the solutions to a nth order differential equation form a vector space of dimension n, and to describe any vector space of dimension n we need at most 2 linearly independent vectors.

**Exercise:** Show that  $x_1(t)$  and  $x_2(t)$  are linearly independent.

Thus, the general solution can be written as

$$x(t) = Ae^{i\omega_0 t} + Be^{-i\omega_0 t},$$

where *A* and *B* will be fixed by the initial conditions.

### 1.2 Why complex numbers?

Some of you might be surprised at why we introduce complex numbers to solve simple physical situations which deal with "real" physical quantities. It's a good idea to examine why we do this so that you know why (and therefore, when) it is advisable to use this "trick".

The first step is to realise that there are two complex notations that are equivalent. The first, with which most of you must be familiar from school, is that a complex number z can be denoted by x + iy, where x and y are both real. This is the "Cartesian" representation of complex numbers, but – just as with a normal xy-plane, one could just as well represent these points in *polar* form. In other words, we could imagine z being represented by some "distance" from the origin r, and some angle from the x-axis,  $\theta$ . Clearly,

$$x = r \cos \theta$$
  $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$   
 $y = r \sin \theta$   $\theta = \arctan\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)$  (2)

Substituting these results into z, we can see that

$$z = x + iy = r\cos\theta + r\sin\theta = r(\cos\theta + i\sin\theta) = re^{i\theta}$$
(3)

Where in the last step we've used an important mathematical formula called Euler's Formula. The standard way to prove this is using Taylor series (which we will see later in this course) but for now, a short proof will be sketched out in the exercise below.

**Exercise:** Begin by defining  $f(\theta) = \cos \theta + i \sin \theta$ . We are going to show that  $f(\theta) = e^{i\theta}$  for all values of  $\theta$ .

- (a) Show that  $f'(\theta) = i f(\theta)$  for all  $\theta$ . (**Hint:** Use the fact that  $\frac{1}{i} = -i$ .)
- (b) By solving the above differential equation, show that  $f(\theta) = e^{i\theta}$  for all  $\theta$ . (Remember, a first order differential equation has one arbitrary constant, which can be set by f(0).)

It is this property of a complex number (that it can be written as a real number times a complex exponential) that makes it invaluable to solving linear (homogeneous<sup>1</sup>) differential equations.

**Exercise:** In particular, looking at the general solution in Equation (1.1), use the Euler formula to show that

$$x(t) = C_1 \cos(\omega_0 t) + C_2 \sin(\omega_0 t).$$

and find  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  in terms of A and B.

**Important Note:** Complex exponentials behave quite differently from real exponentials. Suppose  $\omega$  is a real number. Then  $e^{\omega t}$  is a continuously increasing (or decreasing, depending on the sign of  $\omega$ ) function in time. However,  $e^{i\omega t} = \cos(\omega t) + i\sin(\omega t)$  is an *oscillatory* function! Thus, the appearance of complex exponentials highlights **oscillatory behaviour!** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This word might be unfamiliar, and will become clearer next year in your MP course. Suffice it to say that it can be used to solve differential equations of the form  $a_n x^{(n)}(t) + a_{n-1} x^{(n-1)}(t) + \dots + a_1 x^{(t)}(t) + a_0 x(t) = 0$ .

## 2 Solving Differential Equations with Matrices

## 2.1 Two uncoupled masses

Let's start off easy: consider the simple system given in Figure (1)



Figure 1: Two masses are allowed to oscillate, and neither is affected by the other.

The state of this system is completely specified by  $x_1(t)$  and  $x_2(t)$ .

**Exercise:** Let's convert this into a matrix problem:

(a) Begin by showing that  $x_1(t)$  and  $x_2(t)$  satisfy the following set of differential equations:

$$m_1\ddot{x}_1 = -k_1x_1$$
$$m_2\ddot{x}_2 = -k_1x_2$$

(b) Show that this can be converted into the following matrix equation:

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} m_1 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 \end{pmatrix}}_{\underline{M}} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \ddot{x}_1 \\ \ddot{x}_2 \end{pmatrix}}_{\ddot{X}} = \underbrace{-\begin{pmatrix} k_1 & 0 \\ 0 & k_1 \end{pmatrix}}_{-\underline{K}} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}}_{\underline{X}}$$

(c) Show that the above equation can be written as shown below,

$$\ddot{\mathbf{X}} = -(M^{-1}K)\mathbf{X} = -\Lambda\mathbf{X} = -\begin{pmatrix} \frac{k_1}{m_1} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{k_1}{m_2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1\\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix} \omega_1^2 & 0\\ 0 & \omega_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1\\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus, in matrix form, the pair of equations seems to resemble a single (matrix) equation:<sup>2</sup>

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}t^2}\mathbf{X} = -\Lambda\mathbf{X} \tag{4}$$

We can solve such an equation in exactly the same manner as we did for the "normal" differential equations, but with one added complication. Let us "guess" a solution<sup>3</sup> of the form

$$\mathbf{X}(t) = \mathbf{C}_{\alpha} e^{i\alpha t},$$

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ I'll ignore the underbars from now on, capital letters will denote matrices like K and M, and bold-capitals will denote vectors, like  $\mathbf{X}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>From time to time, we will call this an "ansatz", which is just a fancy way of saying it's a guess.

where  $C_{\alpha}$  is a *constant* column-vector that we need to find (which may be different for different  $\alpha$ s), and  $\alpha$  is a real number.

Exercise: Substitute the above ansatz into Equation (4).

- (a) Show that  $\alpha = \pm \omega_1, \pm \omega_2$ .
- (b) If this is the case, show that Equation (4) reduces to

$$\Lambda \mathbf{C}_{\alpha} = \alpha^2 \mathbf{C}_{\alpha} \tag{5}$$

From the above exercise it must be clear that the  $C_{\alpha}$  must be the **eigenvectors** of  $\Lambda$  corresponding to the eigenvalues  $\alpha^2$ . We had earlier seen that there were four distinct values of  $\alpha$ , but only *two* distinct values of  $\alpha^2$ . We thus have to solve two eigenvalue equations:

$$\Lambda \mathbf{C}_{\pm\omega_1} = \omega_1^2 \mathbf{C}_{\pm\omega_1}$$
$$\Lambda \mathbf{C}_{\pm\omega_2} = \omega_2^2 \mathbf{C}_{\pm\omega_2}$$

Exercise: Solve the above equations and show that

$$\mathbf{C}_{+\omega_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{C}_{-\omega_1},$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{+\omega_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{C}_{-\omega_2},$$

**Hint:** This should be trivial, since the matrix  $\Lambda$  is diagonal!

The different vectors that satisfy this eigenvalue equation are known as the **Normal Modes** of the system, and their corresponding eigenvalues are known as the **normal mode frequencies**.

Important Note: (Just to make sure you don't forget)

- (a) The eigenvectors of the  $\Lambda$  matrix are the **normal modes**, a special linearly independent basis that is the "physical" basis of our problem.
- (b) The eigenvalues of the  $\Lambda$  matrix are the **normal mode frequencies**, since the solutions change in time according to these frequencies.

The general solution to this differential equation is thus given by:

$$\mathbf{X}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \end{pmatrix} = D_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{i\omega_1 t} + D_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{-i\omega_1 t} + D_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{i\omega_2 t} + D_4 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{-i\omega_2 t} = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 e^{i\omega_1 t} + D_2 e^{-i\omega_1 t} \\ D_3 e^{i\omega_2 t} + D_4 e^{-i\omega_2 t} \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

Note that even though (for example)  $\pm \omega_1$  have the same eigenvectors (or normal modes), they each have a different exponential term. The resulting solution is just that for two independent harmonic oscillators, and the different constants  $D_i$  will be determined by the initial conditions.

The reason this problem was simple (nay, trivial) was because the matrix  $\Lambda$  was already diagonal. The reason the matrix  $\Lambda$  was diagonal was because the two masses did not "talk" to each other. In other words, they weren't "coupled" to each other. We will now allow for some coupling by introducing a spring with some new spring constant  $k_2$  in between them. Now, the force on the mass  $m_1$  will *also* depend on the position of  $m_2$ , and vice versa.

#### 2.2 Two coupled masses




Figure 2: Two masses are allowed to oscillate as before, but are further coupled by a spring k2.

**Exercise:** Choose  $m_1 = m = m_2$  and repeat the above procedure in the previous subsection to this problem. Find the  $\Lambda$  matrix such that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}t^2}\mathbf{X} = -\Lambda\mathbf{X}.$$

(a) Guess a solution of the form  $\mathbf{C}_{\alpha}e^{i\alpha t}$ , and find the values of  $\alpha^2$  possible. (**Hint:** These are just the eigenvalues of  $\Lambda$ .) These are the **normal mode frequencies**. Show that they are

$$\omega_1^2 = \frac{k_1}{m}$$
  $\omega_2^2 = \frac{k_1 + 2k_2}{m}$ .

(b) Find the column-vectors  $\mathbf{C}_{\alpha}$  that correspond to each eigenvalue  $\alpha^2$ . These are the **normal modes**. You should find that

$$\omega_1^2$$
 has eigenvector  $\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$   $\omega_2^2$  has eigenvector  $\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

If you've done the above calculations correctly, you should find the following:

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{k_1 + k_2}{m} & -\frac{k_2}{m} \\ -\frac{k_2}{m} & \frac{k_1 + k_2}{m} \end{pmatrix}$$

and the general solution  $\mathbf{X}(t)$  is

$$\mathbf{X}(t) = \left(D_1 e^{i\omega_1 t} + D_2 e^{-i\omega_1 t}\right) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} + \left(D_3 e^{i\omega_2 t} + D_4 e^{-i\omega_2 t}\right) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} \\ -\mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where the  $D_i$ s are determined by the initial conditions. As before, these four arbitrary constants are determined by the initial conditions  $(x_1(0), \dot{x}_1(0), x_2(0), \dot{x}_2(0))$ . Looking closer at the solution, you should be

able to see that it can be written as

$$\mathbf{X}(t) = \text{Function with frequency } \omega_1 \times \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \text{Function with frequency } \omega_2 \times \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

As we shall see, this is a characteristic feature of such equations.

#### 2.3 General procedure to solve coupled differential equations

Armed with our two examples, we can now generalise the method to solve such systems of coupled differential equations.

**Write out the differential equations** Looking at the physical system, use Newton's law to write out the force on each mass (and therefore get *n* differential equations).

**Convert it to matrix form** Define an n-dimensional column vector  $\mathbf{X}$ , and write the above equations as a single matrix equation

$$\ddot{\mathbf{X}} = -\Lambda \mathbf{X}.$$

Find the eigenvalues of  $\Lambda$  These eigenvalues  $\omega_1^2, \omega_2^2, \dots, \omega_n^2$  are the normal mode frequencies (squared).

Find the eigenvectors of  $\Lambda$  Using the eigenvalues found, the eigenvectors can be obtained which are called the **normal modes**. These eigenvectors  $\mathbf{v_i}$  represent combinations of  $x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t)$  which each oscillate at a specific frequency  $\omega_i$ .

Write out the general solution The general solution is given by

$$\mathbf{X}(t) = \left(D_1 e^{i\omega_1 t} + D_2 e^{-i\omega_1 t}\right) \mathbf{v_1} + \left(D_3 e^{i\omega_2 t} + D_4 e^{-i\omega_2 t}\right) \mathbf{v_2} + \dots + \left(D_{2n-1} e^{i\omega_n t} + D_{2n} e^{-i\omega_n t}\right) \mathbf{v_n}.$$

**Determine the constants**  $D_i$  The 2n constants are determined by setting the n initial positions of the masses, and their n initial velocities. Once this is done, the entire problem has been solved.

## 2.4 Example: Solving a harder system

Let's attempt to solve the system in Figure (3). The first step is to determine the differential equations that this system satisfies. Each mass is attached to two springs on either side, and so will have two restoring forces due to them. It's very important to get the signs of these terms correct, and here's a simple trick to do that: imagine a snapshot of the system, and choose  $0 < x_1 < x_2 < x_3$  (i.e. all the masses are displaced in the same direction – to the right which we will take as being "positive" displacement). As we will show below, this makes it easy to write out the equations.

**Mass 1** The force on  $m_1$  is due to the springs  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ . We have chosen  $0 < x_1 < x_2$ . Since  $x_1$  is positive, the spring  $k_1$  is extended to the right, so it tries to pull  $m_1$  with a force  $-kx_1$  (to the left). In the case of the spring  $k_2$ , since  $x_2 - x_1 > 0$ , the spring has been extended. This spring would like to move  $m_1$  to the *right* (it would *pull*  $m_1$  towards its centre), and so the force due to it is  $+k_2(x_2 - x_1)$ . Thus

$$m_1\ddot{x}_1 = -k_1x_1 + k_2(x_2 - x_1).$$

 $<sup>^4</sup>$ If we had chosen an  $x_1$  that was to the left (i.e. negative), then this would still be true, since the net force would be positive, i.e. to the right.





Figure 3: Three masses are connected by four springs on a frictionless surface.



**Figure 4:** Consider a snapshot of each of the masses moved by a small amount. Without loss of generality, choose  $0 < x_1 < x_2 < x_3$ .

**Mass 2** The force on  $m_2$  is due to the springs  $k_2$  and  $k_3$ , and we have chosen  $0 < x_1 < x_2 < x_3$ . We've seen that the spring  $k_2$  is extended by a length  $x_2 - x_1$ , and so it would like to pull  $m_2$  towards its centre, which is to the *left*. Thus, the force due to  $k_2$  is  $-k_2(x_2-x_1)$ . In the case of spring  $k_3$ , it has been extended by a length  $x_3 - x_2 > 0$ , and so it would like to pull  $m_2$  towards *its* centre, i.e. to the right. Thus

$$m_2\ddot{x}_2 = -k_2(x_2 - x_1) + k_3(x_3 - x_2).$$

**Mass 3** The force on  $m_3$  is due to  $k_3$  and  $k_4$ . As before, since  $x_3 - x_2 > 0$ ,  $m_3$  is pulled to the *left* with a force  $-k_3(x_3 - x_2)$ . It is also pushed to the left with a force  $-k_4x_3$  by the last spring that has been compressed, so

$$m_3\ddot{x}_3 = -k_3(x_3 - x_2) - k_4x_3.$$

**Exercise:** Write the above system as a matrix equation, and show that the matrix  $\Lambda$  is given by

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{k_1 + k_2}{m_1} & -\frac{k_2}{m_1} & 0\\ -\frac{k_2}{m_2} & \frac{k_2 + k_3}{m_2} & -\frac{k_3}{m_2}\\ 0 & -\frac{k_3}{m_3} & \frac{k_3 + k_4}{m_3} \end{pmatrix}$$

We will now simplify the problem greatly by choosing  $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = k_4 = k$ , and  $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = m$ . We can also call  $\omega_0^2 = k/m$ . This greatly simplifies the matrix  $\Lambda$  to:

$$\Lambda = \omega^2 \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

We can now find the normal mode frequencies, and the normal modes.

**Exercise:** Show that the eigenvalues of  $\Lambda$  are

$$\omega_1^2 = 2\omega_0^2$$

$$\omega_2^2 = (2 - \sqrt{2})\omega_0^2$$

$$\omega_3^2 = (2 + \sqrt{2})\omega_0^2$$

and find their corresponding eigenvectors. Use this to find the general solution.